# ON THE POSITIVITY CONJECTURE: A DIGEST OF MASON'S COUNTEREXAMPLE

#### YILONG WANG

# 1. INTRODUCTION

In this note, we give an explicit construction of a counterexample to the positivity conjecture on the second Frobenius-Schur indicators of a modular category. The positivity conjecture can be formulated in the following way [Wan10, Conjecture 4.26]:

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a modular category, and let X, Y be simple objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then  $N_{X,X^*}^Y > 0$  implies  $\nu_2(Y) = 1$ .

We will borrow ideas from Mason's preprint [Mas17], but our example comes from a smaller group than that in [Mas17]. In Section 3, we give the explicit character data of the counterexample implemented in GAP.

We thank Professor Richard Ng for helpful discussions and suggestions.

# 2. Construction of a counterexample

Let  $Q := Q_8 = \langle a, b \mid a^4 = 1, a^2 = b^2, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1} \rangle$  be the quaternion group. It is easy to check that the assignment  $\alpha : Q \to \operatorname{GL}(2,3)$ , given on generators by

$$a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ b \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

embeds Q into GL(2,3) as a subgroup. Therefore, Q acts faithfully on  $H := (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$  by (left) matrix multiplication (with column vectors). For any  $q \in Q$  and any  $h \in H$ , we denote this action by  $q \cdot h$ .

Let  $G := H \rtimes_{\alpha} Q$  be the semidirect product of H and Q with respect to  $\alpha$ . As subgroups of G, Q acts on H by conjugation (in G). Note that G is of order 72. Let  $\lambda : H \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  be a character of H defined by

$$\lambda(x, y) := \omega^{y-x}$$

for any  $(x,y) \in H = (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$ , where  $\omega = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{3})$ . Let  $\chi := \operatorname{ind}_H^G(\lambda)$  be the representation of G induced by  $\lambda$ .

**Proposition 1.** The representation  $\chi$  is irreducible.

*Proof.* We prove the irreducibility of  $\chi$  by computing its character. By the character formula of induced representations ([Ser77, Theorem 12]), for any  $g \in G$ , we have

$$\operatorname{char}(\chi)(g) = \sum_{\substack{q \in Q \\ q^{-1}gq \in H}} \lambda(q \cdot g) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } g \notin H \\ -1, & \text{if } g \in H \setminus \{e_G\} \\ 8, & \text{if } g = e_G \end{cases}$$

where  $e_G$  stands for the identity of G.

Therefore, the character inner product (following notations in [Ser77]) of  $\chi$  with itself is given by

$$(\operatorname{char}(\chi)|\operatorname{char}(\chi)) = \frac{1}{72} \sum_{g \in G} |\operatorname{char}(\chi)|^2 = \frac{1}{72} \times (8^2 + (-1)^2 \times 8) = 1.$$

Hence, by [Ser77, Theorem 3],  $\chi$  is irreducible.

**Remark 1.** We can also apply Mason's idea to give an alternative proof of the irreducibility of  $\chi$ , which goes as follows. By a corollary of Mackey's irreducibility criterion of induced representations ([Ser77, Corollary 7.4.23]),  $\chi$  is irreducible if and only if

(1)  $\lambda$  is irreducible;

(2)  ${}^{g}\lambda \neq \lambda$  for every  $g \notin H$ .

Here,  ${}^{g}\lambda : H \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  is defined by  ${}^{g}\lambda(h) := \lambda(g^{-1}hg)$  for any  $h \in H$ . It is clear that  $\lambda$  is irreducible, so it remains to prove (2), which is the result of direct computation using the expression for  $\lambda$ .

We proceed with the following known facts. Firstly, Q has four 1-dimensional (denoted by  $\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_4$ ) and one 2-dimensional irreducible representation (denoted by  $\phi$ ). Moreover, direct computation shows that  $\nu_2(\phi) = -1$ . In addition, by dimension counting,  $\chi$  is the unique 8-dimensional representation of G with  $\nu_2(\chi) = 1$ .

Since  $\operatorname{Rep}(Q)$  is a braided (symmetric) spherical fusion full subcategory of  $\operatorname{Rep}(G)$ , any irreducible representation of Q can be viewed as an irreducible representation of G by pre-composing the quotient map  $G \twoheadrightarrow Q$ . In addition, for any  $X \in \operatorname{Rep}(Q)$ , its dimension and its second Frobenius-Schur indicator  $\nu_2(X)$  computed in  $\operatorname{Rep}(Q)$ is the same as  $\nu_2(X)$  computed in  $\operatorname{Rep}(G)$ . More precisely, let  $\xi \in \operatorname{Rep}(Q)$ , by definition,  $\nu_2(\xi)$  in  $\operatorname{Rep}(Q)$  and in  $\operatorname{Rep}(G)$  are given respectively as

$$\nu_2(\xi)_{\operatorname{Rep}(Q)} = \frac{1}{|Q|} \sum_{q \in Q} \operatorname{char}(\xi)(q^2),$$

and

$$\nu_2(\xi)_{\operatorname{Rep}(G)} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \operatorname{char}(\xi)(g^2).$$

By definition, when we view  $\xi$  as in  $\operatorname{Rep}(G)$ , we have  $\xi(gh) = \xi(g)$  for any  $g \in G$ and  $h \in H$ . Therefore, by the fact that  $Q \cong G/H$ , we have

$$\nu_2(\xi)_{\operatorname{Rep}(G)} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G/H} \operatorname{char}(\xi)(g^2) |H| = \frac{1}{|Q|} \sum_{q \in Q} \operatorname{char}(\xi)(q^2) = \nu_2(\xi)_{\operatorname{Rep}(Q)}.$$

Similar argument holds for  $\operatorname{Rep}(D(G))$ . More precisely, since both  $\operatorname{Rep}(Q)$  and  $\operatorname{Rep}(G)$  are braided (symmetric) spherical fusion full subcategory of  $\operatorname{Rep}(D(G))$ , for any  $X \in \operatorname{Rep}(Q)$ , and for any  $Y \in \operatorname{Rep}(G)$ , we have

$$\nu_2(X)_{\operatorname{Rep}(Q)} = \nu_2(X)_{\operatorname{Rep}(D(G))}$$

and

$$\nu_2(Y)_{\operatorname{Rep}(G)} = \nu_2(Y)_{\operatorname{Rep}(D(G))}.$$

In particular, we have

(1) 
$$\nu_2(\phi)_{\operatorname{Rep}(D(G))} = \nu_2(\phi)_{\operatorname{Rep}(Q)} = -1$$

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

Let  $\rho_G$  be the regular representation of G. It is standard that

$$\rho_G = \bigoplus_{j=1}^4 \gamma_j \oplus 2\phi \oplus 8\chi.$$

**Theorem 1.** The 2-dimensional representation  $\phi$  is a constituent of  $\chi \otimes \chi$  in  $\operatorname{Rep}(G)$ .

*Proof.* It is well-known (or see Appendix) that

(2) 
$$\rho_G \otimes \phi = \rho_G^{\oplus \deg(\phi)} = \rho_G \oplus \rho_G = 2 \bigoplus_{j=1}^4 \gamma_j \oplus 4\phi \oplus 16\chi.$$

Decomposing the left hand side, we have

$$\rho_G \otimes \phi = 4\phi \oplus 2 \bigoplus_{j=1}^4 \gamma_j \oplus (8\chi \otimes \phi),$$

where the first two summands are derived from the familiar representation theory of Q. Comparing both sides of Equation (2), we have

$$\chi\otimes\phi=2\chi$$

In other words,  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Rep}(G)}(\chi \otimes \phi, \chi) \neq 0$ , which implies that  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Rep}(G)}(\chi \otimes \chi, \phi) \neq 0$ , as both  $\chi$  and  $\phi$  are self-dual.

As pointed out before, we can view  $\chi$  and  $\phi$  as objects in the modular category  $\operatorname{Rep}(D(G))$ . Since  $\operatorname{Rep}(G)$  is a fusion full subcategory of  $\operatorname{Rep}(D(G))$ , we will still have  $N_{\chi,\chi}^{\phi} = 2$  in  $\operatorname{Rep}(D(G))$ . Together with Equation (1) and Theorem 1 we have

**Theorem 2.** In the modular category  $\operatorname{Rep}(D(G))$ , there exist irreducible representations  $\chi, \phi \in \operatorname{Irr}(\operatorname{Rep}(D(G)))$  such that  $N_{\chi,\chi^*}^{\phi} = N_{\chi,\chi}^{\phi} = 2$  and  $\nu_2(\phi) = -1$ .  $\Box$ 

The above theorem nullifies the positivity conjecture.

**Remark 2.** Equation (3) implies that  $\nu_2$  is not a fusion character. Indeed, by definition and the linearity of  $\nu_2$ , we have

$$\nu_2(\chi \otimes \phi) = \nu_2(2\chi) = 2\nu_2(\chi) = 2,$$

while

$$\nu_2(\chi) \times \nu_2(\phi) = 1 \times (-1) = -1.$$

## 3. GAP IMPLEMENTATION

In fact, we can identify G with PSU(3,2) whose GAP ID is SmallGroup(72, 41). We use the following code in GAP to get the information we need.

We first get the information of irreducible representations of G by

G:=SmallGroup(72,41);; Irr(G); The output is

#### YILONG WANG

[Character(CharacterTable( <pc group of size 72 with 5 generators> ), [ 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 ]), Character( CharacterTable( <pc group of size 72 with 5 generators> ), [ 1, -1, -1, 1, 1, 1 ] ), Character( CharacterTable( <pc group of size 72 with 5 generators> ), [ 1, -1, 1, 1, 1, -1 ] ), Character( CharacterTable( <pc group of size 72 with 5 generators> ), [ 1, 1, -1, 1, 1, -1 ] ), Character( CharacterTable( <pc group of size 72 with 5 generators> ), [ 1, 0, 0, -2, 2, 0 ] ), Character( CharacterTable( <pc group of size 72 with 5 generators> ), [ 2, 0, 0, -2, 2, 0 ] ), Character( CharacterTable( <pc group of size 72 with 5 generators> ), [ 8, 0, 0, 0, -1, 0 ] ) ]

We can see that among the 6 irreducible representations, there is a unique 8dimensional representation, which is denoted by  $\chi$  in the previous section.

Next, we compute the second Frobenius-Schur indicator of the above irreducible representations

Indicator(CharacterTable(G), 2);

The output is

4

[ 1, 1, 1, 1, -1, 1 ]

This means the 2-dimensional irreducible representation of G has -1 as its  $\nu_2$ . Finally, we decompose  $\chi \otimes \chi$  into irreducible representations

ConstituentsOfCharacter(Irr(G)[6]\*Irr(G)[6]);

The output is

[Character( CharacterTable( <pc group of size 72 with 5 generators> ),
[ 1, -1, -1, 1, 1, 1 ] ),
Character( CharacterTable( <pc group of size 72 with 5 generators> ),

[ 1, -1, 1, 1, 1, -1 ] ), Character( CharacterTable( <pc group of size 72 with 5 generators> ),

[ 1, 1, -1, 1, 1, -1 ] ), Character( CharacterTable( <pc group of size 72 with 5 generators> ), [ 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 ] ),

Character( CharacterTable( <pc group of size 72 with 5 generators> ), [ 2, 0, 0, -2, 2, 0 ] ),

Character( CharacterTable( <pc group of size 72 with 5 generators> ), [ 8, 0, 0, 0, -1, 0 ] ) ]

We can see that the 2-dimensional irreducible representation of G is indeed a constituent of  $\chi \otimes \chi$ .

#### Appendix

For any braided spherical fusion category  $\mathcal{C}$ , let  $\operatorname{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$  denote the set of isomorphism class of simple objects, and let  $d_X$  be the categorical dimension of  $X \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $R := \sum_{X \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} d_X X$  be the regular element in the Grothendieck algebra of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Lemma 1.** For any  $V \in C$ , we have the equality in the Grothendieck algebra of C

 $RV = d_V R.$ 

Proof.

(4)

$$RV = \left(\sum_{X \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} d_X X\right) V$$
$$= \sum_{X \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} d_X \sum_{Y \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} N_{X,V}^Y Y$$
$$= \sum_{Y \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} Y \sum_{X \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} N_{V,Y^*}^{X^*} d_{X^*}$$
$$= \sum_{Y \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} d_Y d_V Y$$

 $= d_V R.$ 

## References

- [Mas17] Geoffrey Mason. A brief history of the positivity conjecture in tensor category theory. ArXiv e-prints, March 2017.
- [Ser77] Jean-Pierre Serre. Linear representations of finite groups. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. Translated from the second French edition by Leonard L. Scott, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 42.
- [Wan10] Zhenghan Wang. Topological quantum computation, volume 112 of CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.