

Lecture 14

Last time : QT Hopf algebra , QYBE

§ 2. Coquasitriangular Hopf algebras

DEF. A **coquasitriangular (CQT)** Hopf algebra is a pair (H, r) , where H is a Hopf algebra and $r \in (H \otimes H)^*$ is a bilinear form on H , satisfying the following conditions :

- (CQT 1) r is invertible in $(H \otimes H)^*$.
- (CQT 2) $r * \mu = \mu \tau * r$, where we identify r w/ $\eta \circ r \in \text{Hom}_k(H \otimes H, H)$
 \downarrow multiplication, $\mu: H \otimes H \rightarrow H$ \downarrow unit
- (CQT 3) $r \circ (\mu \otimes id) = r^{13} * r^{23}$, $r \circ (id \otimes \mu) = r^{12} * r^{14}$

where $r^{14} = r \otimes \varepsilon$, $r^{23} = \varepsilon \otimes r$, and $r^{13} = (\varepsilon \otimes r)(\tau \otimes id)$.

The pair is called **almost commutative** if it only satisfies (CQT 1) and (CQT 2).

" when H is fin-dim'l,
 $r = \sum \varphi_i \otimes \psi_i \in H^* \otimes H^*$
 $r^{13} = \sum \varphi_i \otimes \tau_{H^*} \otimes \psi_i$
 $r^{13}(a \otimes b \otimes c)$
 $= \sum \varphi_i(a) \varepsilon(b) \psi_i(c)$
 $= \sum \varepsilon(b) \varphi_i(a) \psi_i(c)$,,
 $= (\varepsilon \otimes r)(\tau \otimes id)$

Write above in terms of H .

Let $a, b, c, d \in H$ be arbitrary elements, then $r^{13}(a \otimes b \otimes c) = \varepsilon(b) r(a \otimes c)$

(CQT 1) says there exists $r' \in (H \otimes H)^*$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} (r * r')(a \otimes b) &= \sum r(a_1 \otimes b_1) \cdot r'(a_2 \otimes b_2) = \sum r'(a_1 \otimes b_1) r(a_2 \otimes b_2) \\ &= (r' * r)(a \otimes b) = \varepsilon(a) \varepsilon(b). \end{aligned}$$

$$(CQT 2) \text{ requires } \sum_1 r(a_1 \otimes b_1) \cdot a_2 b_2 = \sum_1 r(a_2 \otimes b_2) b_1 a_1$$

$$\text{"} \quad \text{"}$$

$$(r * \mu)(a \otimes b) \quad (\mu \tau * r)$$

$$(CQT 3) \text{ says } (\tau \circ (\mu \otimes id))(a \otimes b \otimes c) = (\tau^{13} * \tau^{23})(a \otimes b \otimes c)$$

$$\text{"} \quad \text{"}$$

$$r(a \otimes b \otimes c) \quad \sum_1 r(a \otimes c_1) r(b \otimes c_2)$$

Note: the notation here is different from Montgomery's book.

Let (H, τ) be CQT. If H is finite-dim'l, then $(H \otimes H)^* = H^* \otimes H^*$ as Hopf algebras. In this case, (CQT 1) and (CQT 2) imply that (H^*, τ) is almost cocommutative. In fact, (CQT 2) is equivalent to

$$\tau * \Delta^*(f) = (\tau \Delta^*(f) * r) \text{ for all } f \in H^*.$$

$$(\tau * \Delta^*(f))(a \otimes b) = \sum_1 r(a_1 \otimes b_1) \Delta^*(f)(a_2 \otimes b_2) = \sum_1 r(a_1 \otimes b_1) f(a_2 b_2)$$

$$= \dots = (\tau \Delta^*(f) * r)(a \otimes b).$$

Moreover, (CQT 3) is exactly (QT 3) for H^* .

PROP 2.2. Let H be a finite-dim'l Hopf algebra. Then a pair (H, τ) is CQT if and only if (H^*, τ) is QT. ▣

Example. Let H be any commutative Hopf algebra, then $(H, \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)$ is CQT.

Example. Let $H = \mathbb{k}G$ be a group algebra, then H admits a CQT structure $\tau \in (H \otimes H)^*$ if and only if for all $g, h, k \in G$,

- $r(g \otimes h) \in \mathbb{k}^\times$

- $gh = hg$

- $r(gh \otimes k) = r(g \otimes k) r(h \otimes k)$, $r(g \otimes hk) = r(g \otimes h) r(g \otimes k)$.

Therefore, in this case, (H, r) is CQT if and only if the following conditions

hold: (1) G is abelian; (2) r is a bicharacter of G .

induced from

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \chi: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^\times \\ \chi(gh, k) = \chi(g, k) \chi(h, k) \\ \chi(g, hk) = \chi(g, h) \chi(g, k) \end{array} \right)$$

By definition, bicharacters of G naturally corresponds to group

homomorphisms from G to $\hat{G} := \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{k}^\times)$.

Now suppose G is a finite abelian group of order n , and suppose \mathbb{k} contains a primitive n -th root of unity. Then $G \cong \hat{G}$ and $(\mathbb{k}G)^\times = \mathbb{k}\hat{G}$.

By Prop 2.2, the QT structures on $\mathbb{k}G$ are in one-to-one correspondence the bicharacters on \hat{G} .

For example, consider $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \{e, g\}$ written multiplicatively. Write

$\hat{G} = \{\varepsilon, \gamma\}$, where ε is the trivial character, and $\gamma(g) = -1$. Then there

is only one nontrivial bicharacter χ on \hat{G} , namely, $\chi(\gamma, \gamma) = -1$, and

all other χ -values are 1. Suppose R_χ is the QT structure on $\mathbb{k}G$

corresponding to χ , and write

$$R_\chi = \alpha_1 (e \otimes e) + \alpha_2 (e \otimes g) + \alpha_3 (g \otimes e) + \alpha_4 (g \otimes g) \in \mathbb{k}G \otimes \mathbb{k}G.$$

By the above discussions, we have

$$(\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)(R_\chi) = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = \chi(\varepsilon, \varepsilon) = 1$$

$$(\varepsilon \otimes \gamma)(R_\chi) = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 = \chi(\varepsilon, \gamma) = 1$$

$$(\gamma \otimes \varepsilon)(R_z) = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 = z(\gamma, \varepsilon) = 1$$

$$(\gamma \otimes \gamma)(R_z) = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = z(\gamma, \gamma) = -1$$

system of

The above 2 linear equations has a unique solution, i.e., $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \frac{1}{2}$, $\alpha_4 = -\frac{1}{2}$, and R_z is exactly the R in the previous section.

LEM 2.5 Let (H, r) be an almost commutative Hopf algebra, and let V, W be left H -comodules. Then $V \otimes W \cong W \otimes V$ as left comodules.

PF. Define $\beta_{V,W} : V \otimes W \rightarrow W \otimes V$ by $v \otimes w \mapsto \sum_i r(\underbrace{w_{-1}}_{\in H} \otimes \underbrace{v_{-1}}_{\in V}) \underbrace{w_0}_{\in W} \otimes \underbrace{v_0}_{\in V}$

Since r is invertible, $\beta_{V,W}$ is a linear isom. It remains to show that it is an H -comodule map. Let ρ be the coaction of H .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 V \otimes W & \xrightarrow{\beta_{V,W}} & W \otimes V \\
 \rho_{V \otimes W} \downarrow & ? & \downarrow \rho_{W \otimes V} \\
 H \otimes (V \otimes W) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_H \otimes \beta_{V,W}} & H \otimes (W \otimes V)
 \end{array}$$

$\rho_W \otimes \rho_V$
 $H \otimes W \otimes H \otimes V$
 $\downarrow \text{id} \otimes \tau \otimes \text{id}$
 $H \otimes H \otimes W \otimes V$
 $\leftarrow \mu \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id}$

$$(\rho_{W \otimes V} \circ \beta_{V,W})(v \otimes w) = \rho_{W \otimes V} \left(\sum_i r(w_{-1} \otimes v_{-1}) w_0 \otimes v_0 \right)$$

$$= \sum_i r(w_{-2} \otimes v_{-2}) \underbrace{w_{-1}}_{\in H} \otimes \underbrace{v_{-1}}_{\in V} \otimes \underbrace{w_0}_{\in W} \otimes \underbrace{v_0}_{\in V}$$

$$= \dots = ((\text{id} \otimes \beta_{V,W}) \circ \rho_{V \otimes W})(v \otimes w) \quad \square$$

Set $V = W$ in the above lemma, then we can show that $\beta_{V,V}$ is an R -matrix for V . In fact, it is clear that one can define a CQT bialgebra in the same way as we did for Hopf algebras. Then Lem 2.5 holds also for

CQT bialgebras, i.e., a CQT bialgebra gives rise to an R -matrix on every comodule.

The famous FRT construction, due to Faddeev, Reshetikhin and Takhtadjan, says that the converse is true. Namely, if any ^{fin-dim} \mathbb{k} -vector space V admits an R -matrix $\beta \in \text{Aut}(V \otimes V)$, then \exists a CQT bialgebra (A_β, τ) s.t. V is a left A_β -comodule, and $\beta \in \text{Aut}_{A_\beta}(V \otimes V)$, and

$\beta = P_{V,V}$ defined in LEM 2.5. The construction is briefly described as follows.

Let $\{v_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq N\}$ be a basis for V , and write the matrix presentation of β w.r.t. $\{v_i \otimes v_j \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq N\}$ for $V \otimes V$ by

$$\beta(v_i \otimes v_j) = \sum_{k,l} b_{ij}^{kl} v_k \otimes v_l$$

Consider the free algebra $F_\beta = \mathbb{k} \langle X_s^t \mid 1 \leq s, t \leq N \rangle$ generated by a family of indeterminates X_s^t , and let I_β be the two-sided ideal of F_β generated by all elements of the form

$$\left(\sum_{1 \leq k, l \leq N} b_{ij}^{kl} X_k^s X_l^t \right) - \left(\sum_{1 \leq m, n \leq N} X_i^m X_j^n b_{mn}^{st} \right)$$

where i, j, s, t run over the index set $\{1, \dots, N\}$. Then the quotient

$A_\beta := F_\beta / I_\beta$ has an (essentially unique) CQT algebra structure determined by $\Delta(X_s^t) = \sum_{i=1}^N X_s^i \otimes X_i^t$, $\varepsilon(X_s^t) = \delta_{s,t}$.

and $r \in (A_\beta \otimes A_\beta)^*$ is determined $r(X_i^k \otimes X_j^l) = b_{ji}^{kl}$.

For example, suppose $k = \mathbb{C}$, and $\dim(V) = 2$ w/ basis $\{v_1, v_2\}$.

It is easy to check, w/ r.t. $\{v_1 \otimes v_1, v_1 \otimes v_2, v_2 \otimes v_1, v_2 \otimes v_2\}$, the matrix

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & q - q^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } q \in \mathbb{C}^\times \text{ is not a root of unity,}$$

is an R-matrix for V . Denote $X_1^1 := a$, $X_2^1 := b$, $X_1^2 := c$, $X_2^2 := d$. Then

the algebra A_β is generated by a, b, c, d , subject to the relation

$$\beta \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & ab & ba & b^2 \\ ac & ad & bc & bd \\ ca & cb & da & db \\ c^2 & cd & dc & d^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & ab & ba & b^2 \\ ac & ad & bc & bd \\ ca & cb & da & db \\ c^2 & cd & dc & d^2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \beta$$

It is easy to see that

$$A_\beta = \mathbb{C} \langle a, b, c, d \mid ba = qab, ca = qac, cb = bc, db = qbd, da - ad = (q - q^{-1})bc \rangle$$

w/ the bialgebra structure defined above. As a bialgebra, this is called the coordinate ring of quantum 2×2 -matrices, and is denoted by $\mathcal{O}_q(M_2(\mathbb{C}))$

in Mont. book. (compare w/ $\mathcal{O}(M_2(\mathbb{C}))$ in our Section 1.4)

One can introduce a notion of q -determinant so as to define $\mathcal{O}_q(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ and $\mathcal{O}_q(GL_2(\mathbb{C}))$. In fact, it can be shown (e.g. Kassel's book)

$\mathcal{O}_q(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ is "dual" to $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ in an appropriate sense.

§3. Drinfeld double.

DEF. Let H be any Hopf algebra w/ bijective antipode S w/ inverse \bar{S} , and let $h \in H$, $f \in H^*$ be arbitrary elements. The **left coadjoint action** of H on H^* is given by

$$h \rightrightarrows f := \sum_i h_i \rightrightarrows f \leftarrow \bar{S}(h_2)$$

The **right coadjoint action** of H on H^* is given by

$$f \leftarrow h := \sum_i \bar{S}(h_1) \rightrightarrows f \leftarrow h_2.$$

Remark. The coadjoint actions are related to the obvious adjoint actions of H on itself.

$$\forall h, k \in H, \quad \text{ad}^L(h)(k) := \sum_i h_i k S(h_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ad}^R(h)(k) = \sum_i S(h_1) k h_2,$$

then it is easy to check

$$\langle h \rightrightarrows f, k \rangle = \langle f, \text{ad}^L(\bar{S}(h))(k) \rangle \quad \text{and}$$

$$\langle f \leftarrow h, k \rangle = \langle f, \text{ad}^R(\bar{S}(h))(k) \rangle$$

For example, if $H = \mathbb{K}G$ for a finite group G , then $H^* = (\mathbb{K}G)^*$. In this

$$\text{case, for any } x, y, z \in G, \quad (y \rightrightarrows \delta_x)(z) = \delta_{x, zy} = \delta_{xy^{-1}}(z)$$

so $y \rightrightarrows \delta_x = \delta_{xy^{-1}}$. Similarly, $\delta_x \leftarrow y = \delta_{y^{-1}x}$. Hence,

$$y \rightrightarrows \delta_x = y \rightarrow \delta_x \leftarrow y^{-1} = \delta_{yxy^{-1}}$$

When H is finite-dim'l, the coadjoint actions make $H^{*, \text{cop}}$ into a left H -module coalgebra, and H into a right $H^{*, \text{cop}}$ -module algebra. That is,

$$\Delta^{*, \text{cop}}(h \rightrightarrows f) = \sum_i (h_i \rightrightarrows f_2) \otimes (h_2 \rightrightarrows f_1)$$

$$\Delta^*(f) = \sum f_1 \otimes f_2, \quad \Delta^{*, \text{cop}}(f) = \sum f_2 \otimes f_1, \quad \Delta^*(f)(x \otimes y) = f(xy)$$

$$\Delta^{*, \text{cop}}(f)(x \otimes y) = f(yx)$$

and

$$\Delta(h \leftarrow f) = \sum (h_1 \leftarrow f_2) \otimes (h_2 \leftarrow f_1).$$

$$\Delta^{*, \text{cop}}(h \rightrightarrows f)(x \otimes y) = (h \rightrightarrows f)(yx)$$

$$= \sum_i \langle h_i \rightrightarrows f \leftarrow \bar{S}(h_2), yx \rangle$$

$$= \sum f, \bar{S}(h_2) yx h_1 \rangle$$

$$\left(\sum_i (h_i \rightrightarrows f_2) \otimes (h_2 \rightrightarrows f_1) \right) (x \otimes y)$$

$$= \sum_i \langle (h_i)_1 \rightrightarrows f_2 \leftarrow \bar{S}((h_i)_2), x \rangle \langle (h_2)_1 \rightrightarrows f_1 \leftarrow \bar{S}((h_2)_2), y \rangle$$

$$= \sum_i \langle f_2, \bar{S}(h_2) x h_1 \rangle \langle f_1, \bar{S}(h_2) y h_3 \rangle$$

$$= \sum_i \langle f, \bar{S}(h_2) y h_3 \bar{S}(h_2) x h_1 \rangle = \sum_i \langle f, \bar{S}(h_2) yx h_1 \rangle.$$

$$\bar{S}(h_3) y \underbrace{\varepsilon(h_2)}_{\bar{S}(h_2)} x h_1$$

$$\bar{S}(h_2) yx h_1$$